

RE: Compound's Use of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,797: Method and System for Separating Storage and Process of a Computerized Ledger for Improved Function

■ Governance Process

 TrueReturn  BOAG PLLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAWY	Mar '22 1 / 2 Mar 2022
<p>March 10, 2022</p> <p>Compound Finance (d/b/a Compound Protocol, Compound Finance, compound finance) COMP Owners and Compound Finance Governance</p> <p>via forum post to www.comp.xyz via Twitter to twitter.com/compoundfinance</p> <p>RE: Compound Finance's Use of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,797: Method and System for Separating Storage and Process of a Computerized Ledger for Improved Function</p> <p>Dear COMP Owners and Compound Finance Governance:</p> <p>Our firm represents True Return Systems, LLC ("TRS"). This letter summarizes TRS's position concerning the use of its patented technology without a license.</p> <p>U.S. Patent No. 10,025,797 is generally directed to systems and methods that improve distributed ledger technology by addressing computational, time, storage, and security constraints inherent in distributed ledgers such as blockchains. The general approach of the '797 Patent is to separate certain processing and storage functions from a base distributed computerized ledger while linking the separated processing and storage to a base distributed computerized ledger. The technology described in the '797 Patent was developed exclusively by TRS.</p> <p>TRS and its predecessor organizations are inventor-led and have been inventing solutions relating to ledger systems, integrated data sourcing, and linked storage arrangements for more than a decade. We are confident in the novelty and enforceability of the '797 Patent, which was duly issued by the USPTO and thus entitled to a statutory presumption of validity under 35 U.S.C. § 282. The '797 Patent was granted under the USPTO's Track One or "prioritized examination" which exists so that patents covering cutting-edge, economically significant subject matter can take priority.</p> <p>Based on publicly-available information, we believe that Compound Finance is using the technology claimed in at least Claims 1 and 7 of the '797 Patent. The '797 Patent and claims charts showing the correspondence between the Compound Finance system and Claims 1 and 7 of the '797 Patent are attached as a link under "preliminary exhibits" and are accessible at www.truereturnsystems.com/e-docs.</p> <p>In earlier communications, counsel representing Compound Labs, Inc. denied that Compound Labs, Inc. (itself) is infringing the '797 Patent, but they declined to make similar representations for the Compound Finance protocol or the owners of the COMP token.¹</p> <p>DAOs and Membership Liability</p> <p>To the best of our knowledge, Compound is not legally organized to operate in any state, but rather represents that it is operated by the owners of the COMP token through decentralized governance. The COMP token serves essentially the same purpose as tradable partnership interests or voting tradable shares in an unincorporated entity. According to Compound Finance documentation and press releases from Compound Labs, Inc., COMP owners operate the governance functions, treasury functions, and control the rights over distributions and distribution entitlements for the Compound Finance DAO's business operations.</p> <p>Counsel for Compound Labs Inc. recently authored an article directed at the partnership liability for a DAO, titled entitled "Opinion – How to Do Business as a DAO." In the article counsel argues:</p> <p>There is a risk the DAO could be considered a general partnership or unincorporated association. This might expose members to personal liability for any of the DAO's actions and obligations, and discourage businesses, institutional investors, or other vulnerable or regulated entities from participating in DAOs.²</p> <p>Crypto counsel for Andreessen Horowitz's a16z co-authored a whitepaper in which he similarly noted risks to DAOs include:</p> <p>Significant legal precedent exists for U.S. courts utilizing a functional approach to determining whether a partnership was formed irrespective of disclaimers and specific intent to not form a partnership.</p> <p>In a practical sense, the operational structure of a DAO provides certain advantages against liability as the smart contracts prevent much of the legal risk of a typical</p>	<p>March 2022</p>

¹ For the avoidance of doubt, TRS believes that Compound Lab Inc.'s content distinguishes between Compound Finance and Compound Labs Inc. as will have no bearing on TRS's claims or the anticipated outcome.

² See "Opinion – How to Do Business as a DAO", Oct 20, 2021, www.condek.com/policy/2021/10/20/how-to-do-business-as-a-dao/.

-2-

organization by providing an unambiguous and efficient method for transactions to be processed. However, if a judgement were to be entered, accessing DAO resources would require a vote of the widely dispersed and pseudonymous members who could be unwilling to utilize treasury assets to satisfy any judgement, increasing the risk that such liability falls on individual DAO members.³

To paraphrase, if it looks like a general partnership and acts as a general partnership, courts are likely to treat it as a general partnership.

The author of a 2018 law review article was even more forthright, predicting that courts will ultimately put form over substance and recognize DAOs as general partnerships:

Because decentralized organizations do not have a recognized legal status, the limited liability protection afforded to many other organizations is not available – leaving individuals subject to personal liability because of their investments.⁴

While the writings of private practice attorneys and internet commentators do not make law, it appears that the majority of papers and articles are similarly aligned on matters of potential DAO liability.⁵ General partnerships have no corporate form and do not provide partners with the liability limitations contained in other common entity structures.

The Compound Finance DAO, COMP owners, and Compound Labs, Inc.

We expect the owners and operators of the Compound Finance protocol may rely on entanglement of names to misdirect the identity of the DAO's owners and operators where the mix of names may include Compound, Compound Finance, the Compound Protocol, the Compound Finance Protocol, Compound Labs, Inc., and the website compound finance. We do not expect a

³ See D. Kerr et al., A Legal Framework for Decentralized Autonomous Organizations, pp. 12-13, [a16z.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/DAO-LegalFramework-Kerr10.19.21-Final.pdf](http://www.wp-content/uploads/2021/10/DAO-LegalFramework-Kerr10.19.21-Final.pdf); last accessed Dec. 6, 2021.

⁴ See L. Mejia, Decentralizing the DAO: The Need For Legal Recognition and the Application of Securities Laws to Decentralized Autonomous Organizations, available at cadobororeviews.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/METJAHC_394.pdf; last accessed Dec. 6, 2021.

⁵ See Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, "The Distributed Ledger: Blockchain, Digital Assets and Smart Contracts," www.skadden.com/Blockchain-and-Digital-Assets/Blockchain-and-Digital-Assets.aspx.

surfaced among users that DAOs are general partnerships, and therefore each of a DAO's members would be personally liable for the debts and obligations of the partnership, including the liability of the other general partners." Y. Orik Heringov & Sutcliffe LLP, "Get to Know This Alternative for a Crypto Regulatory Alternative: DAOs", Sept. 24, 2021 (describing a Wyoming bill as "designed with several benefits in mind, including protecting DAO participants from theories of liability based on general partnerships and giving a corporate form for members of DAOs the ability to sue and be sued in state court"). See also, for example, the World Bank Group Worldwide, "Legal implications of expanded use of blockchain technology", Judith Alison Lee, Jeff Steiner and Dustin McKenzie, November 2016 ("Decentralised organisations raise liability issues as ultimate responsibility may be difficult to define. Because the organisation's 'management' is conducted automatically, legal systems would have to decide who is responsible if laws are broken. Similarly, the legal status of such organisations will be in question.").

-3-

strategy of name misdirection or the use of pseudo-anonymous handles to materially impact any legal proceedings or outcomes.

We have taken the precaution of attaching an unfiled complaint for the purpose of identifying the parties to this correspondence. Possibly unintended confusion may arise because of the overlap of names among founding entities, governance foundations, software providers, website address locations, trademark filers, and the DAO itself. The use of anonymous and pseudo-anonymous identities by the DAO and entities related to the DAO may also lead to possibly unintentional confusion.

TRS remains open to an amicable resolution of this matter. Parties interested in acquiring a license to TRS's technology should contact us immediately while preferred terms remain available for early adopters. Should TRS need to formally enforce its rights, the terms will change to reflect the additional expense.

Very truly yours,

David A. Beag

-4-



created	last reply	1	84	1	
Mar '22	Mar '22	reply	views	user	

Unlisted on Mar 10, '22

Reply

Suggested Topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
OpenZeppelin Security Updates for February 2022 ■ Governance Process audit, bug-fix, tusd, ctusd	7	877	Mar '22
Gauntlet Market Risk Framework for Asset Listings ■ Governance Process	0	408	May '22
OpenZeppelin Security Updates for April 2022 ■ Governance Process audit	0	303	May '22
OpenZeppelin Security Updates for August & September 2022 ■ Governance Process audit	1	231	Sep '22

Want to read more? Browse other topics in ■ Governance Proc... or [view latest topics](#).